	Case 2:21-mc-00080-KJM-DB Documer	nt 9 Filed 04/13/21 Page 1 of 4
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	United States of America,	No. 2:20-cr-00150-KJM-1
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	
14	Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	United States of America,	No. 2:21-mc-00076-KJM-DB
18	Plaintiff,	
19 20	V.	
21	Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,	
22	Defendant.	
23	United States of America,	No. 2:21-mc-00077-KJM-DB
24	Plaintiff,	
25	v.	
26	Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,	
27	Defendant.	
28		
		1

Case 2:21-mc-00080-KJM-DB Docui	ment 9 Filed 04/13/21 Page 2 of 4
United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Ronald Steven Schoenfeld, Defendant.	No. 2:21-mc-00078-KJM-DB
United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,	No. 2:21-mc-00079-KJM-DB
Defendant.	— No. 2:21 mg 00080 VIM DD
United States of America, Plaintiff, v.	No. 2:21-mc-00080-KJM-DB
Ronald Steven Schoenfeld, Defendant.	
United States of America, Plaintiff,	No. 2:21-mc-00081-KJM-DB
V.	
Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,	
Defendant.	
	2

Inited States of America,	No. 2:21-mc-00082-KJM-DB
Plaintiff,	
v.	
Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,	
Defendant.	
Inited States of America	No. 2:21-mc-00083-KJM-DB
Jnited States of America,	1NO. 2.21-IIIC-UUU03-KJIVI-DD
Plaintiff, v.	
v. Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,	
Defendant.	
Berendanc.	
Jnited States of America,	No. 2:21-mc-00095-KJM-DB
Plaintiff,	
V.	
Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,	
Defendant.	
Jnited States of America,	No. 2:21-mc-00096-KJM-DB
Plaintiff,	
V.	
Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,	RELATED CASE ORDER
Defendant.	

Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, "[all] actions involve similar questions of fact and the same question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort." Local Rule 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions to be assigned to the same judge—it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that 2:21-mc-00076-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00077-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00078-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00080-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00081-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00082-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00095-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00096-KJM-DB are related and remain assigned to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 13, 2021.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE